

### **Mobile Information Systems**

+ Journal Menu

Article Sections

**Special Issue** 

Advanced Artificial Intelligence Technologies for Service Enhancement on the Internet of Medical Things View this Special Issue

Research Article | Open Access Volume 2022 | Article ID 9109300 | https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9109300

Show citation

# **Rules of Smart IoT Networks within Smart Cities towards Blockchain Standardization**

Nada Alasbali,<sup>1,2</sup> **Saaidal Razalli Bin Azzuhri** <sup>1</sup>,<sup>1</sup> Rosli Bin Salleh,<sup>1</sup> Miss Laiha Mat Kiah,<sup>1</sup> Ahmad Aliff A. S. Ahmad Shariffuddin,<sup>3</sup> Nik Muhammad Izwan bin Nik Mohd Kamel,<sup>3</sup> and Leila Ismail<sup>4</sup>

Show more

Academic Editor: Hasan Ali Khattak

Published: 23 Feb 2022

# Abstract

*Motivation.* Standardization in smart city applications is restricted by the competitive pressures of proprietary innovation and technological compartmentalization. Interoperability across networks, databases, and APIs is essential to achieving the smart objectives of technology-supported urban environments. *Methodology.* The issues that smart cities face, as well as the usage of blockchain in Internet of Things (IoT) applications, are discussed in this research paper. *Problem Statement.* The study shows the obstacles to the establishment of an IoT-driven smart city agenda, including system security, dispersed node interoperability, data resource management and scalability of a diverse IoT network. *Results.* To resolve these challenges, this



+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

proprietary modules, networks, and algorithms limit smart node interoperability and network-spanning information sharing [4, 5]. The interoperability as defined by IEEE 2030.5 Ecosystem Steering Committee (ESC) is "a quality of information and communications technology interfaces that enables two or more devices or systems to connect and successfully interact" [6]. Interoperability across networks, databases, and Application Programming Interfaces (APIs) is critical to realizing the smart goals of technology-enabled urban environments. The Internet of Things (IoT) is realizing a comprehensive technological goal of integrated, multinodal communication over scattered networks in these smart cities and through lightweight, low-power, and multifunction gadgets [3].

With various devices collecting usage, behavior, and environmental data, the ability to mine and interpret these data resources is limited due to a lack of interoperability between proprietary platforms [7]. Economically speaking, IoT is an efficient source of economic profits, which is continuously evolving and growing [8]. Fernández-Caramés and Fraga-Lamas [9] have forecasted growth in Machine-to-Machine (M2M) connection in the coming years from 780 million devices in 2016 to 3.3 billion devices in 2021, which is related to its broad application in defense, transportation, public, safety, home automation, and more [10–12]. Consequently, the smart cities concept has significantly grown given the complex challenges aimed at improving the citizen's quality of life (QoL) and quality of service (QoS). In a report by the United Nations (UN), it is mentioned that there is more than half of the population resides in the urban areas, and an additional growth of 2.5 billion is expected by 2050 [13]. This increased urbanization has substantially affected the living conditions due to the increase in traffic jams, greenhouse gas emission, carbon dioxide, and waste disposal [14].

Some of the cities recognize themselves as smart based on their innovative applications and certain characteristics, including digital inclusion, broadband connectivity, and knowledge workforce [14]. Some examples of smart cities solutions are found globally. For instance, Tangle Technology in Germany is used for automated transportation system [15]. In Amsterdam, IoT application has improved energy conservation, traffic reduction, and security level [16], and in Barcelona, sensor technology is used for evaluating the traffic flow for designing new bus network [17, 18]. Moreover, in Korea, a smart street lighting system is used along with automated building [19] similar to Japan, Netherland, and England [20]. Previous studies have highlighted the benefits and application of the devices and few on the concerns related to smart city vulnerabilities [21–24]. The identification of the concerns related to smart cities is integral for recognizing potential threats. Likewise, Silva et al. [22] have also stressed on identifying the concerns related to smart cities for optimizing the benefits and making necessary improvements for future deployment. Kitchin [21] has also stated that studies have mostly focused on the technological development of the smart cities while neglecting the security and privacy issues when implementing smart city's solutions.

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



Mobile Information Systems

+ Journal Menu

## **8** Article Sections

The organization of this paper is as follows: the research aim is presented in Section 2 followed by materials and methods. Section 3 presents the research findings on basis of a comparative exploration of prior research, conceptual dynamics, and theoretical relationships related to three domains of smart city innovation, the IoT industry, and blockchain solutions. Then, we proposed a blockchain-based standard for IoT integration for smart cities in Section 4. Finally, we summarize the work contributions and conclude this research in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

and notantial for automation [21] For axample Dizzo [20] adds that DC is likely to sorve as an urban

# 2. Research Aim

Smart city technology interoperability is being restricted by corporate commercial goals; hence, a unifying solution that connects IoT nodes across urban fabric is urgently needed to facilitate technological interoperability [13]. This research paper aims to critically examine the current level of progress in IoT-based smart city solutions and to provide a framework for blockchain integration into IoT-based smart city applications.

# 3. Research Findings

#### 3.1. Smart City Solutions

The incorporation of smart city solutions in urban areas is evidence of the unsustainable and coordinated weight of human activity [33]. Given that the world's largest 600 cities will contribute to more than 60% of global GDP by 2025, efficient and integrated hubs of connected technical and informational resources are critical [33]. Almirall et al. [33] suggest that in order to achieve such goals, urban ecology must be substantially transformed by networked technologies that give access to and control over datasets throughout the human-system network. While the fabric of current urban areas is an enabler of communal action, Finger and Razaghi [34] contend that it is the complex and dynamic sociotechnical interface between individuals and systems that generates chances for smart, data-driven city characteristics. The concept of smart city ecology is based on the "pervasive penetration of cities by ICTs" (Information and Communication Technologies) as a system approach to the digitalization of the infrastructure nodes, connections, and interfaces essential for human-technology convergence [35]. While such definitions may eventually appear in the digital lifestyles of mobile device users or technological breakthroughs in ICT-connected vehicles, the entire ecological framework of the urban center determines the city's relative smartness or integrated nature. A richness of dynamic and static resources is regarded as underscoring the fundamental purpose of the smart



Sun et al. [13] and Alasball et al. [39, 40] recognize that smart cities must satisfy requirements of trust,

**Mobile Information Systems** 

+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

innovative, purposeiul, and dynamic services can be developed that not only extend the reach of integrated technologies but also redefine the purposes and human-technology relationships that have evolved over time.

#### 3.2. IoT and Smart Cities

Commonly, the Internet of Things is explained as a broad real-to-object with limited storage and processing capabilities. The goal of IoT for smart cities is to improve the infrastructure's performance, reliability, and security [8]. Figure 1 shows the conventional network architecture for data centers. In the presence of internet-enabled devices, information is perceived, detected, and collected. It also distributes data by way of an internet-based communications network to various devices. Global Positioning Systems, Radio Frequency Identification Devices, cameras, and sensors are a few examples. In terms of network capability and device limits, the network layer is in charge of moving data from the application layer's viewpoint. For transporting information from the perception device to the close by a gateway that utilizes communicative capabilities, it integrates into a combination of multiple short-range networks like ZigBee and Bluetooth. Wi-Fi, 4G, and Power Line Communication (PLC) are employed for longer data transfers [42].





**Mobile Information Systems** 

#### + Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

essential for establishing a secure urban environment and, eventually, preventing cyberattacks on smart cities. Furthermore, Pardini et al. [49] illustrated how cloud computing and the Internet of Things could help cities enhance waste management by enabling for the tracking of waste, containers, garbage deposit monitoring, and detecting high-demand areas. Almirall et al. [33] also discover a fragmented information governance and oversight framework that confines data resources to silos. Private firms impede the potential for city-wide integration and quick technoinnovation based on the IoT's cooperative and integrated potential by hoarding and restricting access to collected-IoT data. According to Chen et al. [43], the lack of integration and definitions support systems in IoT systems leads to functional and effectiveness gaps in the security architecture. Bruneo et al. [50] as shown in Figure 2 propose that output services can be integrated, reducing the dependency on proprietary networks. Emerging technologies, such as those presented by Collen et al. [51] and Alasbali et al. [39], anticipate an IoT standard that is secure and adaptable to changing ontologies of security threats by developing architectural solutions and data authentication standards that limit unauthorized access and automate information exchange.

according to a wide range of situations at the application lawer and data from the middleware lawer



Overlapping hardware solutions are being studied for IoT to be scalable and effective across big technologysupported networks. Historically, RFID tags provided a low-tech version of IoT connectivity, generating static nodes that maintained onboard information for sharing with device readers once sufficient



+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

Human actions are now being exposed to service providers and other unknown third-parties on an unprecedented scale as a result of the emergence of big data mining. Given the importance of a smart city ecosystem's ability to mine and analyze user data, security and trust concerns connected to information security and user data rights are becoming more widespread [55, 56]. Interconnectivity, for example, might expose users' data to malicious attacks or unauthorized access through a plethora of interfaces and connecting points [57]. That is why trust-based certificates, which link user activities to meaningful triggers and downstream network reactions, are critical in smart city security [55].

The application programming interface (API) is the software that translates user data into triggers and downstream actions [37]. However, as IoT data moves across the network and via the software suite, the danger of data corruption, unauthorized access, and malicious assaults increases, as these layers are exposed to a variety of accessibility and authorization concerns [36]. One feasible answer to the security needs for smart city interconnectivity is the creation of an encryption or encoding standard for network communication that eliminates plain text accessibility and corruptibility of user data. According to Shafagh and Hithnawi [57], smart networks can be secured in a predictable and trust-based manner by incorporating a database-level service capable of encrypting incoming and outgoing data. The Internet of Things (IoT) is fraught with security risks. Multilayer security interference is increasing in proportion to the network's size, exacerbated by a number of physical, access, and technology-based threats (e.g., DDoS attack).

The more connected an IoT device is, the more vulnerable human users are to data disclosure and third-party attacks [58]. However, from a communications perspective, this type of low-power wide area network (LPWAN) enables the development of a mesh network of IoTs within a dedicated regional space, enabling interoperability and cross-node communication based on proximity interactions (e.g., entering and exiting a space) or network-triggered stimuli (e.g., beginning a journey home) [59]. Numerous intermediary methods have been proposed to safeguard IoT-collected data. For example, Hadar et al. [60] have developed a security mitigation framework that consists of a lightweight IoT security appliance, a cloud-based service, and mechanisms for synchronization and communication. The problems that smart cities must overcome are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Smart city challenges.

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



#### + Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

the mathematical function of IOTA, a cryptocurrency used in the IoT market. It stores transaction details in a directed acyclic graph (DAG) and provides a novel and evolutionary approach to constructing machine-tomachine micropayment systems. On the other hand, Sagirlar et al. [69] proposed a hybrid BC architecture for the Internet of Things, which was found to be effective in addressing security issues. Li et al. [70] have presented a secure and authenticated IoT block chained paradigm. It implemented a Hyperledger Fabricbased prototyping system. The platform's low cost of ownership, its deployment on lightweight devices, and enhanced security protection all contribute to its implementation prospects. Jiang et al. [27] also presented a system for integrating various blockchains for the purpose of managing secure IoT data. This framework introduces a new type of control station that operates on a decentralized access approach. According to Jiang et al.'s [27] study, this framework consumes fewer resources and is simple to install across numerous consortia.

allows for the participation of trusted or public players in validation and transaction processes [50]. The

For the IoT, there are numerous central criteria for achieving the distributed, multinodal system's central security objectives, and information control requirements. Reference [67] argues that systems must protect the integrity of incoming and outgoing communications/transactions while also preserving data integrity by allowing only authorized users to access and save data on the database/ledger. Additionally, the blockchain-based IoT database's resources must be on demand and sufficiently scalable to maintain constant and predictable quality of service (QoS) across time [66]. Due to the fact that the blockchain solution is based on multiple layers of authentication, it is a mutually verifiable solution that not only ensures the security of IoT data exchange, but also enables the software to determine which nodes are communicating in accordance with the given system specifications/permissions [71].

The gap between conventional IoT solutions and blockchain innovation is evident across numerous critical aspects, including the trust model (decentralized), the level of security (high), and the underlying privacy controls (high) [72]. By routing IoT traffic through the distributed blockchain, the data's trustworthiness and immutability ensure that the underlying transactions' integrity and validity are verifiable [72]. Despite these benefits, there are some inherent hurdles to integrating blockchain technologies into the smart city ecosystem that must be addressed architecturally and systemically to enhance the possibility of success:

- (i) Scalability: the blockchain solution's architecture will eventually decide the system's overall scalability [73].
- (ii) Public versus private blockchain deployment: decisions about the blockchain's public versus private and permission versus permission-less status must be made in light of the smart city and IoT's underlying aims in order to achieve optimality [72].
- (iii) Architecture: the architecture of the blockchain solution, which can range from entirely centralized to fully distributed, has an effect on the nature of data management and the reconciliation of edge

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



÷	Journal Menu	PDF
-		

## Article Sections

delivery requirements, this model identifies six key aspects of effective BC data management: automatic data collection, distributed data security, transparency and privacy, trust-free governance, and democratization [1 3]. Zheng et al. [5] view the BC solution as a technology-supported intervention capable of scalable, secure, and efficient data management across cloud-supported or decentralized network channels that can be coordinated to provide a robust output of informational resources to achieve this broad spectrum of expectations.

MD in size. This study proposes storego methods based on data factures and estagorization that



The immediate repercussions of IoT security concerns drive academics to create and implement a more productive, efficient security standard based on a centralized blockchain solution. According to Chen et al. [4 3], efficiency and system performance are demonstrated through small-scale trials and then gradually scaled up to satisfy the requirements of large-scale systems. In Brooklyn, a blockchain-based energy grid was built to enable solar-paneled households to track their energy output and consumption, simplifying the accounting for system credits and debits [76]. While similar solutions have been offered for other service-level billing opportunities, such as healthcare, it is the implementation of a decentralized, intermediary-free charging system that will ultimately generate the efficiencies necessary to minimize network costs [26]. Kundu [26] proposes that by aggregating data on healthcare expenses, insurance firms, and service providers will be able



#### in the European Union, the DECODE project was developed in collaboration with regional governments in

**Mobile Information Systems** 

+ Journal Menu

PDF

### **8** Article Sections

protection, but would also encourage businesses to establish more transparent information management standards in response to consumer and industry pressures [45].

## 4. The Solution: An Integrated BC Standard for IOT-Based Smart Cities

Due to the IoT's scale, the limited processing capacity of individual nodes, and the vulnerability of always-on or on-demand network connections, it is critical that any coordinating solution handles the IoT's unique scalability and security problems [77]. As "restricted nodes," each IoT hardware device has limited computation and communication capabilities, limiting its capacity to effectively secure and monitor against security breaches and illegal activity [49]. While BC solutions have the ability to alleviate the IoT's authentication burden, it is required to reduce code size incrementally by rewriting the design of the connection network via a distributed solution that includes both full (transacting) and light (adding) nodes [4 9]. This network design, which is based on an edge computing protocol, is dependent upon what [27] refer to as a centralized consortium network and outlying sidechain networks that connect IoT devices to intermediary notary nodes on the BC. This cross-transaction verification architecture, which is derived from the central conceptual basis for the Helium network [78] and the Tangle proposed by [68], enables parallel consensus and transaction-verified authentication while ensuring that there is no conflict between the current and any previous transactions [27]. BC technologies were created as a decentralized answer to the inherent vulnerability of online transactional systems to abuse, double-spending, and security breaches [26]. As a result, the solution to the security, trust, and integration problems associated with proprietary network architecture in smart city innovation is to construct the BC as a functional, standardized intermediary database [39, 40].

As can be seen in Figure 4, this research presents an integrated framework for BC technology in the context of IoT-based smart cities. The idea centralizes the data transmission process in a homogeneous, network-accessible cloud, where data owners and/or data consumers can grant or restrict access. As a transactional ledger, the BC acts as a central data warehouse, monitoring the inflow and outflow of user-triggered data. While concerns about anonymity and user monitoring are real, the BC sensing system will avoid the need for central authentication by providing access-restricted and purpose-monitored user-specific datasets. The system requires a contractual agreement between the user and the IoT-enabled software node, which is accomplished through transparent and adaptive privacy contracts embedded into the blockchain solution. As a result, the suggested architecture provides a central BC database as the underlying agent for receiving and disseminating user behavior information. APIs and proprietary software connect the database's periphery, routing user data through an integrated ecosystem of hardware nodes. BC authentication ensures the reliability of user information and the preservation of behavior specific data by digitally validating user



Q =

# **Mobile Information Systems**



The concept in Figure 5 illustrates the proposed BC service solution, which maintains compatibility for both open source and proprietary software design for user interfaces and APIs while consolidating data management operations into a single, centralized ledger. Thus, IoTs will work within their own native software but will initiate data exchange in accordance with the sharing protocol, populating the blockchain with the necessary datasets. Although it is dependent on the transactional modality being tested and examined in practice, a BC solution based on an established standard of exchange, such as Ethereum, provides an infinite-loop foundation for IoT consortium-based integration.





+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

# 6. Conclusions

This research paper summarizes the conceptual underpinnings of smart city solutions, IoT integration, and database administration using blockchain technology. Previous research studies indicated that the obstacles associated with BC integration are persistent and multidimensional, as experimentation is unable to resolve a large number of the challenges associated with variability. It is critical to ensure that IoT connections are meaningful, valuable, and responsive during the process of privatizing APIs and software solutions. A more immediate requirement is for a decentralized, widely available security validation and authentication standard. This research has demonstrated the benefits of BC serving in this capacity as a standard proof-of-work concept for legitimizing intranetwork information flows. Additionally, strategies for overcoming recognized obstacles are critical for advancing the notion of smart cities. This study verified also that in order for IoT-based smart city solutions to progress beyond its systemic constraints, there is an urgent need for a revised standard of practice that exists outside the existing private state of developer-restricted data management systems [79–85].

This assessable manane's contributions can be stated as follows: the Internet of Things (IoT) encourtes

# **Data Availability**

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of the present study.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are very thankful to all the associated personnel in any reference and the anonymous reviewers that contributed in/for improving the content and presentation of this research.

## References

A Cumta D Christia and D Maniula "Caslability in the Internat of Things, fastures tashnisus and

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



as a data managamant tool for IoT daviaas in smort aity initiativas" in Dragadings of the 10th Annual

**Mobile Information Systems** 

+ Journal Menu

### **8** Article Sections

*and Applications*, vol. 78, no. 21, pp. 30315–30329, 2019. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- 4. S. Ibba, A. Pinna, M. Seu, and F. E. Pani, "CitySense: blockchain-oriented smart cities," in *Proceedings of the XP2017 Scientific Workshops*, vol. 12, pp. 1–5, Cologne, Germany, May 2017. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- B. K. Zheng, L. H. Zhu, M. Shen et al., "Scalable and privacy-preserving data sharing based on blockchain," *Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 33, no. 33, pp. 557–567, 2018. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- "Interoperability maturity roadmap--IEEE std 2030.5," in *Interoperability Maturity Roadmap--IEEE Std 2030*, IEEE, 2019, Available [Online] at: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumb er=8894220&isnumber=8894219.

View at: Google Scholar

- R. Casado-Vara, P. Chamoso, F. De la Prieta, J. Prieto, and J. M. Corchado, "Non-linear adaptive closed-loop control system for improved efficiency in IoT-blockchain management," *Information Fusion*, vol. 49, pp. 227–239, 2019.
   View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- S. Talari, M. Shafie-Khah, P. Siano, V. Loia, A. Tommasetti, and J. Catalão, "A review of smart cities based on the internet of things concept," *Energies*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. 421, 2017. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- T. M. Fernández-Caramés and P. Fraga-Lamas, "A review on the use of blockchain for the internet of things," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 32979–33001, 2018. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- P. Fraga-Lamas, T. Fernández-Caramés, M. Suárez-Albela, L. Castedo, and M. González-López, "A review on internet of things for defense and public safety," *Sensors*, vol. 16, no. 10, p. 1644, 2016. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 11. M. Höjer and J. Wangel, "Smart sustainable cities: definition and challenges," in Proceedings of the

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



+ Journal Menu

### Article Sections

- 15. A.S. City, https://amsterdamsmartcity.com/.
- T.A. Traffic and IOS, "The best app selection for Barcelona, Apps4bcn," All the Apps You Need for Barcelona, Available [Online]: http://apps4bcn.cat/en/apps/index/Category:transport-i-tr-nsit. View at: Google Scholar
- S. City and S. de Premsa, "El web de la Ciutat de Barcelona," Available [Online]: http://ajuntament.ba rcelona.cat/premsa/tag/smart-city/.
   View at: Google Scholar
- E. Strickland, "Cisco bets on South Korean smart city," *IEEE Spectrum*, vol. 48, pp. 2011 11–12.
   View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 19. G. Hancke, B. Silva, and G. Hancke Jr., "The role of advanced sensing in smart cities," *Sensors*, vol. 13, pp. 393–425, 2013.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 20. T. Bakıcı, E. Almirall, and J. Wareham, "A smart city initiative: the case of Barcelona," *ISSN*, vol. 4, pp. 135–148, 2013.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 21. R. Kitchin, *Getting Smarter about Smart Cities: Improving Data Privacy and Data Security*, Department of the Taoiseach, Ireland, 2016, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/293755608\_Get ting\_smarter\_about\_smart\_cities\_Improving\_data\_privacy\_and\_data\_security.
- 22. B. N. Silva, M. Khan, and K. Han, "Towards sustainable smart cities: a review of trends, architectures, components, and open challenges in smart cities," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 38, pp. 697–713, 2018.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- L. Cui, G. Xie, Y. Qu, L. Gao, and Y. Yang, "Security and privacy in smart cities: challenges and opportunities," *IEEE access*, vol. 6, pp. 46134–46145, 2018.
   View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



+ Journal Menu

### Article Sections

for IoT data management," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 9, p. 2042, 2019. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- R. Beck, J. Stenum Czepluch, N. Lollike, and S. Malone, "Blockchain-the gateway to trust-free cryptographic transactions," in *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS)*, İstanbul, Turkey, 2016. View at: Google Scholar
- 29. C. Harrison and I. A. Donnelly, "A theory of smart cities," in *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the ISSS-2011*, Hull, UK, 2011.
  View at: Google Scholar
- P. Rizzo, "Dubai government taps IBM for city-wide blockchain pilot push," 2017, http://www.coindes k.com/dubai-government-ibm-city-blockchain-pilot/.
   View at: Google Scholar
- L. Ismail, H. Materwala, and A. Hennebelle, "A scoping review of integrated blockchain-cloud (BcC) architecture for healthcare: applications, challenges and solutions," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 11, p. 3753, 2021.

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

 H. Chourabi, T. Nam, S. Walker et al., "Understanding smart cities: an integrative framework," in Proceedings of the 2012 45th Hawaii international conference on system sciences, IEEE, Maui, HI, USA, January 2012.

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- E. Almirall, J. Wareham, C. Ratti et al., "Smart cities at the crossroads: new tensions in city transformation," *California Management Review*, vol. 59, pp. 140–152, 2016. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- M. Finger and M. Razaghi, "Conceptualizing "smart cities"," *Informatik-Spektrum*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 6–13, 2017.

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



+ Journal Menu

PDF

### **8** Article Sections

38. C. C. Snow, D. D. Håkonsson, and B. Obel, "A smart city is a collaborative community," *California Management Review*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 92–108, 2016.
View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

M Stone I Knonner C Evens and E Arevanoulou "Information management in the smart

- N. Alasbali, S. R. Azzuhri, and R. Salleh, "Stakeholders' viewpoints toward blockchain integration within IoT-based smart cities," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–17, 2021. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 40. N. Alasbali, S. R. Azzuhri, and R. Salleh, "A blockchain-based smart network for IoT-driven smart cities," in *Proceedings of the 2020 2nd International Electronics Communication Conference (IECC 2020)*, pp. 17–23, Association for Computing Machinery, Singapore, July 2020. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 41. A. Greenberg, P. Lahiri, D. A. Maltz, P. Patel, and S. Sengupta, "Towards a next generation data center architecture," in *Proceedings of the ACM workshop on Programmable routers for extensible services of tomorrow PRESTO '08*, pp. 57–62, WA, Seattle, USA, August 2008. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- J.-H. Lee, K. D. Singh, Y. Hadjadj-Aoul, and N. Kumar, "Wireless and mobile technologies for the internet of things," *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2016, Article ID 8206548, pp. 1-2, 2016. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 43. K. Chen, S. Zhang, Z. Li et al., "Internet-of-Things security and vulnerabilities: taxonomy, challenges, and practice," *Journal of Hardware and Systems Security*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 97–110, 2018.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 44. M. F. Muhammad, W. Anjum, and K. S. Mazhar, "A critical analysis on the security concerns of internet of things (IoT)," *International Journal of Computer Application*, vol. 111, pp. 1–6, 2015. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 45. R. Khan, S. U. Khan, R. Zaheer, and S. Khan, "Future internet: the internet of things architecture, possible applications and key challenges," in *Proceedings of the 2012 10th international conference on frontiers of information technology*, pp. 257–260, IEEE, Islamabad, Pakistan, 2012.



+ Journal Menu

## **8** Article Sections

- 48. E. Reilly, M. Maloney, M. Siegel, and G. Falco, "A smart city IoT integrity-first communication protocol via an Ethereum blockchain light client," in *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Software Engineering Research and Practices for the Internet of Things, (SERP4IoT 2019)*, pp. 15–19, Marrakech, Morocco, 2019.
  View at: Google Scholar
- 49. K. Pardini, J. J. P. C. Rodrigues, S. A. Kozlov, N. Kumar, and V. Furtado, "IoT-based solid waste management solutions: a survey," *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, vol. 8, no. 1, p. 5, 2019. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- D. Bruneo, F. Longo, G. Merlino, A. Puliafito, and N. Kushwaha, "Integrating IoT and cloud in a smart city context: the# SmartME case study," *International Journal of Computer Application*, vol. 57, pp. 267–280, 2018.
   View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 51. A. Collen, N. A. Nijdam, J. Augusto-Gonzalez et al., "Ghost safe-guarding home IoT environments with personalised real-time risk control," in *Proceedings of the International ISCIS Security Workshop*, pp. 68–78, Springer, 2018.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 52. GSMA, *eSIM: The SIM for the Next Generation of Connected Consumer Devices*, GSMA, 2019, Retrieved from: https://www.gsma.com/esim/.
- J. O. Sachs, N. I. Beijar, P. Elmdahl, J. Melen, F. R. Militano, and P. A. Salmela, "Capillary networks– a smart way to get things connected," *Ericsson Review*, vol. 8, pp. 1–8, 2014. View at: Google Scholar
- 54. K. Finch and O. Tene, "Smart cities: privacy, transparency, and community," *The Cambridge Handbook of Consumer Privacy*, Cambridge University Press, 2018.
   View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 55. A. M. Townsend, *Smart Cities: Big Data, Civic Hackers, and the Quest for a New utopia*, WW Norton & Company, New York, NY, USA, 2013.

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



anaruntad small data" in Drassadings of the Workshan on Mability in the Evoluing Interna

### **Mobile Information Systems**

+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

O. J. A. Pinno, A. R. A. Grégio, and L. C. E. De Bona, "ControlChain: a new stage on the IoT access control authorization," *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, vol. 32, no. 12, p. 5238, 2020.

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- 60. N. Hadar, S. Siboni, and Y. Elovici, "A lightweight vulnerability mitigation framework for IoT devices," in *Proceedings of the 2017 Workshop on Internet of Things Security and Privacy*, pp. 71–75, Texas, Dallas, USA, November 2017.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 61. P. W. Singer and A. Friedman, Cybersecurity: What Everyone Needs to Know, OUP, USA, 2014.
- S. Ijaz, M. A. Shah, A. Khan, and M. Ahmed, "Smart cities: a survey on security concerns," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 7, pp. 612–625, 2016. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 63. M. Harbers, M. Bargh, R. Pool, J. Van Berkel, S. Van den Braak, and S. Choenni, "A conceptual framework for addressing IoT threats: challenges in meeting challenges," in *Proceedings of the 51st Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, HICSS 2018, Hilton Waikoloa Village, HI, USA, January 2018.

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- 64. A. AlDairi and L. a. Tawalbeh, "Cyber security attacks on smart cities and associated mobile technologies," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 109, pp. 1086–1091, 2017.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 65. A. S. Elmaghraby and M. M. Losavio, "Cyber security challenges in Smart Cities: safety, security and privacy," *Journal of Advanced Research*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 491–497, 2014.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 66. H. Demirkan and D. Delen, "Leveraging the capabilities of service-oriented decision support systems: putting analytics and big data in cloud," *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 412–421, 2013.



+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

*Smart Data (SmartData)*, pp. 1007–1016, IEEE, Halifax, NS, Canada, 2018. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- 70. D. Li, W. Peng, W. Deng, and F. Gai, "A blockchain-based authentication and security mechanism for IoT," in *Proceedings of the 2018 27th International Conference on Computer Communication and Networks (ICCCN)*, pp. 1–6, IEEE, Hangzhou, China, 2018.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 71. V. Rakovic, J. Karamachoski, V. Atanasovski, and L. Gavrilovska, "Blockchain paradigm and internet of things," *Wireless Personal Communications*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 219–235, 2019.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 72. N. El Ioini, C. Pahl, and S. Helmer, "A decision framework for blockchain platforms for IoT and edge computing," in *Proceedings of 3rd International Conference on Internet of Things, Big Data and Security*, Funchal, Madeira, Portugal, March 2018. View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 73. P. K. Sharma and J. H. Park, "Blockchain based hybrid network architecture for the smart city," *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 86, pp. 650–655, 2018.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- X. L. Yu, X. Xu, and B. Liu, "EthDrive: a peer-to-peer data storage with provenance," in *Proceedings* of the 29th International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering, pp. 25–32, 2017. View at: Google Scholar
- Q. Xu, K. M. M. Aung, Y. Zhu, and K. L. Yong, "A blockchain-based storage system for data analytics in the internet of things," in *New Advances in the Internet of Things*, pp. 119–138, Springer, Cham, 2018.

View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

- 76. E. Copeland, *Blockchain powers a Personal Data Revolution*", DECODE, 2017, https://decodeproject. eu/blog/blockchain-powers-personal-data-revolution.
- 77. S. Moin, A. Karim, Z. Safdar, K. Safdar, E. Ahmed, and M. Imran, "Securing IoTs in distributed

Article of the Year Award: Outstanding research contributions of 2021, as selected by our Chief Editors. <u>Read the winning articles</u>.



Naturark Ualium 2018 http://whitepaper halium com

**Mobile Information Systems** 

+ Journal Menu

# **8** Article Sections

#### View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

81. R. Novotný, R. Kuchta, and J. Kadlec, "Smart city concept, applications and services," *Journal of Telecommunications System & Management*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 1–5, 2014.
View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

PDF

- 82. S. Nakamoto, "Bitcoin: a peer-to-peer electronic cash system," White Paper, 2008, Available online at: http://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf.
  View at: Google Scholar
- 83. A. Menon, "Smart cities, livable cities," *NIM Marketing Intelligence Review*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 48–52, 2017.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 84. V. Skwarek, "Blockchains as security-enabler for industrial IoT-applications," Asia Pacific Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 301–311, 2017.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar
- 85. A. Saeed, A. Ahmadinia, A. Javed, and H. Larijani, "Intelligent intrusion detection in low-power IoTs," *ACM Transactions on Internet Technology*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 1–25, 2016.
  View at: Publisher Site | Google Scholar

# Copyright

Copyright © 2022 Nada Alasbali et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



- + Journal Menu
- **&** Article Sections

About us		
Contact us		
Careers		
Blog		
Journals		
Article Processing Charges		
Print editions		
Authors		
Editors		
Reviewers		
Partnerships		
Hindawi XML Corpus		
Open Archives Initiative		

**Fraud prevention** 

Privacy Policy | Terms of Service | Responsible Disclosure Policy | Copyright | Modern slavery statement | Cookie

Preferences

